

## APPENDIX E – Summary of representations received in the polling district & polling place review consultation

The tables below provide a summary of all representations received in the polling district & polling place review consultation organised in alphabetical ward order. Where possible, representations have been reproduced exactly as received. However, where this is not practicable due to the length of the submission the key points have been summarised instead. To comply with GDPR principles, some street names have been redacted to avoid identification of individuals.

In addition to the responses outlined below, an additional 9 responses were received where the respondent left no comments. There were 4 responses outside the scope of the consultation. 12 Responses were received with comments and have been addressed by the (A)RO below.

Ward	Polling District	Reference Number	Respondent Type	Representation	A(RO) Response and Recommendation
PONDERS END	PNEX	R1	Political Party	<p><b>Suggestion 1</b></p> <p>An additional polling station needed in the PNEX area, on or near the PNDZ border if possible. It feels like too much distance for residents in this area to get to the proposed Polling Stations if they do not drive, for example. Having one in this area would provide easier access for them.</p>	<p><b>Ponders End –</b> The (A)RO has reviewed polling district PNEX area for possible sites for a temporary building and recommends that no additional building should be included in this polling district area. The (A)RO recommends that the residents in the PNEX area continues to travel to the assigned polling station “The Qube”. This is due to following reasons explained below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No adequate site for adding an additional temporary polling station in PNEX. Most of the area is a retail park.</li> <li>• The electorate size in which the additional polling station would service is only 250 residents of which 192 are in person voters.</li> </ul>

**APPENDIX E – Summary of representations received in the polling district & polling place review consultation**

<p><b>SOUTHBURY</b></p>	<p><b>SBAX</b></p>			<p><b>Suggestion 2</b>                  We do not agree with the proposal for a new polling station on Enfield Playing Fields due to safety reasons. There does not appear to be enough lighting around the area the station would be placed and would possibly need extra security. However, we would like to suggest instead Linden Gardens, as there is a well-lit patch of green, connecting Linden Gardens to Great Cambridge Road that can be considered for a temporary building. This would be easier to access on foot for both the residents in the immediate area, and for residents from the Canning Square/Orton Grove estate now that Charles Babbage House is no longer an option. We also feel that there would be no need for security here.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The total cost of providing a temporary building is extremely expensive. An approximate cost is £3300 per unit and the (A)RO is working tirelessly to ensure we keep costs down.</li> </ul> <p><b>Southbury</b> – The (A)RO reviewed the proposed area for re-locating the temporary building from Charles Babbage House Car Park to the green space area of Linden Gardens leading to Great Cambridge Road. Unfortunately, the green area is privately owned and cannot be used, please refer to Southbury (C)</p> <p>The (A)RO also looked at the following possible site areas to relocate the temporary building:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Corner of Carterhatch Lane – please refer to Southbury (A). This bus stand area is leased to TFL</li> <li>• End of Ladysmith Road – please refer to Southbury (B). This space is too small for the</li> </ul>
-------------------------	--------------------	--	--	---	---

**APPENDIX E – Summary of representations received in the polling district & polling place review consultation**

					<p>smallest of portacabins 24' x 9' unit.</p> <p>The (A)RO also approached David Lloyd leisure centre and they do not hire out privately including possible use of their car park.</p> <p>The (A)RO concludes that the new location for the temporary building should remain at the original proposal site of Enfield Playing Fields Car Park. He will ensure the following are in place:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Security</li> <li>• Extra Lighting leading up to the polling station</li> <li>• Street Marshal to accompany elderly voters from the main road to the polling station</li> </ul>
<b>JUBLIEE</b>	<b>JBBZ</b>	<b>R2</b>	<b>Councillor</b>	<p><b>Suggestion 1</b> Polling district boundaries are oddly drawn for this ward and need to be redrawn. In particular JBB1Z is a very strange shape and needs rationalisation. Electors on Nightingale Road south of Cuckoo Hall Lane should vote at PP54 (Tramway Christian Fellowship) or PP56 (Mottingham Hall) rather than having to travel to PP53 (St Alphage Church Hall). It is bizarre</p>	<p><b>Jubilee</b> - The (A)RO has reviewed polling district area of JBBZ and recommends not to alter the polling district boundary due to the balanced apportionment of electors assigned to JBDZ, JBCZ and JBEZ. However, the (A)RO agrees to add an additional polling station to JBBZ for the following reasons:</p>

**APPENDIX E – Summary of representations received in the polling district & polling place review consultation**

<p><b>EDMONTON GREEN</b></p>	<p><b>EGFZ</b></p>			<p>that electors St Edmonds Road and Hickory Close have to travel all the way to PP53 rather than having a more central location. It is suggested that either Galliard Primary School or a temporary polling station on Jubilee Park replace PP53 and that the polling district boundaries are redrawn so electors in JBB1Z to the east of the Hertford Road are added to JBEZ and vote at PP56 (Mottingham Hall) with the southern section of Nightingale Road added to either JBCZ or JBEZ as noted earlier.</p> <p><b>Suggestion 2</b> PP34 (Edmonton Eagles Amateur Boxing Club) is not well located for a very large polling district placed as it is at the very top. Either polling district boundaries should be redrawn so more of EGFZ is added to the EGEZ so they can vote in the closer Unity Hub (PP33), or</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reduce the number of ‘<b>In Person</b>’ voters assigned to attend St Alphege Church as it currently stands at <b>2759</b></li> <li>• Allows for electors from Hickory Close, St Edmonds Road, Galliards Road, Hamilton Close, Chris Andrew Way to access a polling station closer by.</li> </ul> <p>The (A)RO recommends adding a temporary building either in the Car Park at the Jubilee Park entrance or on the green at the entrance of Jubilee Park off Galliard Road. This will mean that the 2759 electors will be split between the two polling stations within JBBZ.</p> <p><b>Edmonton Green</b> – The (A)RO has reviewed polling district area of EGFZ and recommends that the polling district boundary is not amended. There is no other suitable location to situate a temporary building centrally within the polling district and</p>
------------------------------	--------------------	--	--	---	--

**APPENDIX E – Summary of representations received in the polling district & polling place review consultation**

<p><b>HASELBURY</b></p>	<p><b>HBBZ</b></p>			<p>thought should be given to locating a temporary polling station more centrally located in EGFZ.</p> <p><b>Suggestion 3</b> Consideration should be given to moving PP44 Temporary Polling station Latymer School northwards to better serve electors in HBB1Z, HBB2Z and HBA3Z. PP44 and PP45 are often confused, and it would be better to separate them further.</p>	<p>therefore recommends that the current polling place remains in place as a polling station.</p> <p><b>Hazelbury</b> – The (A)RO has reviewed polling district area of HBBZ and has not identified a suitable area to move the temporary building at Latymer School further north. Therefore the (A)RO recommends keeping the current location of the temporary building at Latymer School. Please note that 95% of polling stations within the borough will have a meet and greet poll clerk to assist with Voter ID and will ensure voters are at the correct polling station.</p>
<p><b>UPPER EDMONTON</b></p>	<p><b>UEEZ</b></p>			<p><b>Suggestion 4</b> Residents to the east of the railway line in UEEZ are poorly served by PP99 (West Lea School). Is there a case for a temporary polling station in that part of the polling district effectively splitting it into a new polling station?</p>	<p><b>Upper Edmonton</b> – The (A)RO has reviewed polling district area of UEEZ and recommends to not add an additional polling station to the <b>east</b> of the Meridian Water railway as this is an industrial site with no registered electors.</p>

**APPENDIX E – Summary of representations received in the polling district & polling place review consultation**

BRIMSDOWN	N/A	R3	MP	<p><b>Suggestion 1</b> Assign additional signage to polling place PP12 28 Enfield Scout Hut</p>	<p><b>Brimsdown</b> – The (A)RO has noted comments for extra signage for PP12 and ensure this is actioned for the next scheduled election.</p>
BULLSMOOR	BMDX and ELCX			<p><b>Suggestion 2</b> The RO’s recommendations suggest there is the issue of space at Ordnance Unity Centre Library, there is not much room for the booths. I am concerned by this. Cramped spaces can heighten human errors that can occur such as ballot papers being incorrectly issued, ballot papers being placed in the wrong box. This will invariably lead to delays to the verification and count stage post 10pm when the polls are closed. The lack of space comes from Ordnance Unity Centre also serving ELCX in Enfield Lock, the polling district where the library is located. I would therefore suggest for BMDX having its own unique polling place. This could be a temporary unit in the Lidl car park on Hertford Road. It is accessible, lit and a safe place for electors to vote. Alternatively, I would suggest placing a temporary unit on the land next to Turkey Street Station on Teal Close. This would allow commuter to easily vote on their way to work. This location also has parking facilities and could easily be made accessible. While these are two suggestions for</p>	<p><b>Bullsmoor</b> – The (A)RO has reviewed polling district BMDX and does not recommend adding an additional polling station to this polling district due to the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• This venue will serve as two polling stations with approximately 1100 assigned ‘In Person’ electors</li> <li>• The total average turnout for voter attendance at Ordnance Library is approximately 33%.</li> <li>• There are no adequate spaces for delivering and site location for a temporary building. The greenery opposite Turkey Station will not allow for a delivery of a temporary building due to the wooden bollards at the front. Lidl Car Park is private property and cannot be used to house a temporary building. This location would also be too</li> </ul>

**APPENDIX E – Summary of representations received in the polling district & polling place review consultation**

<p><b>CARTERHATCH</b></p>	<p><b>CAGZ</b></p>			<p>polling places, I am flexible on their location should you have alternative proposals.</p> <p><b>Suggestion 3</b> I would like to note that CAGZ is not listed in the RO’s polling places recommendations.</p>	<p>close to the current polling place.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The total cost of providing a temporary building is extremely expensive. An approximate cost is £3300 per unit and the (A)RO is working tirelessly to ensure we keep costs down.</li> <li>• No alternative buildings to in the area to hire.</li> <li>• The Head of Electoral Services has re-designed the layout of this polling station to improve space and security for monitoring the ballot box. Arrangements are in place to move book shelving to accommodate the new design.</li> </ul> <p><b>Carterhatch</b> – The (A)RO apologies for missing this polling district off the Appendix (A) ARO recommendation report. CAGZ should have been included against PP26. Ponders End Methodist is serving two polling districts CAFX and CAGZ.</p>
---------------------------	--------------------	--	--	---	---

**APPENDIX E – Summary of representations received in the polling district & polling place review consultation**

<p><b>ENFIELD LOCK</b></p>	<p><b>ELCX</b></p>			<p><b>Suggestion 4</b> As suggested in my response to the proposals for Bullsmoor I have argued that BMDX’s polling place should be moved out of Ordnance Road Library and for only ELCX to vote at Ordnance Road library. This is to ease the pressure on space at the polling place. I believe ELCX should remain to vote in Ordnance Road Library as it is in ELCX, rather than BMDX.</p>	<p><b>Enfield Lock</b> – The (A)RO has reviewed polling district ELCX for possible alternative polling places or sites for a temporary building and recommends that electors in ELCX continues to attend Ordnance Library for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No alternative buildings to in the area to hire.</li> <li>• A green area identified at the end corner of St Stephen Road and St Albany Road is not accessible due to being private land</li> </ul> <p>The (A)RO has re-designed the layout within Ordnance Library to allow for more space for two polling stations. Arrangements are in place to move standalone shelving to accommodate the new design layout, which will give more space and flow through the polling station to address concerns raised.</p>
<p><b>SOUTHBURY</b></p>	<p><b>SBAX</b></p>			<p><b>Suggestion 5</b> I disagree with using Enfield Playing Fields Car Park. Whilst it is accessible and well-lit for</p>	<p><b>Southbury</b> – The (A)RO reviewed the proposed area for re-locating the temporary building from Charles</p>



**APPENDIX E – Summary of representations received in the polling district & polling place review consultation**

				<p>drivers, those voters who will be walking via Ladysmith Road have to cross a path that is not well lit and unsafe. Enfield Playing Fields is a hub for antisocial behaviour in the area and I therefore have serious concerns over its use as a polling place as this may deter voters from voting due to its location. My Office has dealt with a significant number of casework cases on the antisocial behaviour on the playing fields. I would therefore suggest using the patch of grass between Linden Gardens and Great Cambridge Road. This is a well-lit and safe location and therefore additional security will not be required, as it would be for the Playing Fields Car Park. Putting a temporary building here will allow voters to access their polling station easily and safely on foot.</p>	<p>Baggage House Car Park to the green space area of Linden Gardens leading to Great Cambridge Road. Unfortunately, the green area is privately owned and cannot be used, please refer to Southbury (C). The additional issue with this area is also space in which the lorry must manoeuvre to deliver the temporary building. It was advised by the Streets works co-ordinator that we would need a street marshal to reverse the lorry down Great Cambridge Road.</p> <p>The (A)RO also looked at the following possible site areas to relocate the temporary building:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Corner of Carterhatch Lane – please refer to Southbury (A). This bus stand area is leased to TFL</li> <li>• End of Ladysmith Road – please refer to Southbury (B). This space is too small for the smallest of portacabins 24’ x 9’ unit.</li> </ul> <p>The (A)RO also approached David Lloyd leisure centre and they do not hire out privately including possible use of their car park.</p>
--	--	--	--	---	---

**APPENDIX E – Summary of representations received in the polling district & polling place review consultation**

<p><b>TOWN</b></p>	<p><b>TWCX</b></p>			<p><b>Suggestion 6</b>                  In TWDX I would suggest using the Civic Centre rather than St Andrew’s C.E School. While there has been no negative feedback on the polling place itself, I am concerned by it being in a school. I believe that the Civic Centre would be a better polling place given it is accessible, has the facilities to be a polling place and has good transport links. Though schools are used as the polling place for some of the other districts in Town ward there are no suitable alternative venues hence suggesting only this change to TWDX.</p>	<p>The (A)RO concludes that the new location for the temporary building should remain at the original proposal site of Enfield Playing Fields Car Park. The ensure the following is in place:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Security</li> <li>• Extra Lighting leading up to the polling station</li> <li>• Street Marshal to accompany voters from the main road to the polling station</li> </ul> <p><b>Town</b> – The (A)RO has reviewed the polling district area of TWDX and recommends not to use the Civic Centre as a polling station, for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No adequate space available at ground level to accommodate a polling station.</li> <li>• Lack of privacy for voters to maintain the secrecy of the ballot as there are internal cameras</li> <li>• Only one public entrance to the Civic which would interfere with staff and public queries</li> </ul>
--------------------	--------------------	--	--	--	---

**APPENDIX E – Summary of representations received in the polling district & polling place review consultation**

<p><b>WHITEWEBBS</b></p>	<p><b>WBEX</b></p>			<p><b>Suggestion 7</b>          I raised during the interim polling review in 2021, I have concerns regarding WBEX. I would again suggest for there to be a review of WBEX as there are 2226 estimated in person electors to one polling station at Lancaster Road URC Church Hall. This is one of the largest number of electors to one polling station in the Borough. One suggestion could be to change the polling district boundaries so to balance out the number of electors, with WBFX, taking a few more streets and thereby balancing the number of electors. Another option would be to have another polling station in Lancaster Road URC Church Hall to ensure that there are enough facilities for voting on election day</p>	<p>entering. The polling station would need its one separate entrance for voters only. The (A)RO therefore recommends keeping the current polling place St Andrew’s C.E School.</p> <p><b>Whitewebbs</b> – The (A)RO has reviewed the polling district area of WBEX and looked at the historical statistics of the voter turnout for the current polling place Lancaster Road URC Church Hall. The (A)RO has considered the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 45% on average voter turnout</li> <li>• Size of current polling place</li> <li>• Approx. 2191 eligible voters</li> </ul> <p>Therefore the (A)RO agrees that the Lancaster Road URC Church Hall should turnn from a single polling station into a double allowing for an assigned split in the number of eligible voters for WBEX. This will mean that this polling place will now host two polling stations allowing for a balance and reduce risk of any queues forming if the next election is a high turnout.</p>
--------------------------	--------------------	--	--	---	--

**APPENDIX E – Summary of representations received in the polling district & polling place review consultation**

N/A	N/A	R4	Resident	All polling stations should be wheelchair accessible I personally vote by post which I find much easier but for other people with disabilities the polling station should be as accessible as possible	<b>(A)RO response</b> – All polling places within Enfield are DDA compliant with ramp access
<b>BOWES and NEW SOUTHGATE</b>		R5	Resident	I live on Russell Road in the current Bowes Ward. I am 2 mins walk from the current polling station (Trinity at Bowes Church). With the new proposals. It is a much further to the polling station in the New Southgate Ward. Does not make much sense.	<b>(A)RO response</b> - There is no recommendation to change the current polling place Trinity at Bowe Methodist Church Hall, currently serving polling district NSDY and BAAY. Residents from both polling districts have a reasonable walk to the current assigned polling place.
<b>NEW SOUTHGATE</b>	NSCY	R6	Resident	The Proposed Polling District Boundary for the New Southgate Ward seems to indicate that residents of Ollerton Road and possibly Stanley Road would not be able to use the Polling Place at the end of Highworth Road, which would be far more convenient to reach.	<b>(A)RO response</b> – There is no current polling place located at the end of Highworth Road. The current polling place serving polling district NSCY is Bounds Green Bowls and Tennis Club just off of Goring Road.
N/A	N/A	R7	Local Disability organisation	Making it easier for adults with lots of different disabilities to be able to vote in all elections going forwards	<b>(A)RO response</b> – Further to the new rules on accessibility introduced by the Elections Act 2022, the (A)RO confirms that all the polling stations within the borough will contain the following aided equipment and material: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• How to vote notice will be in braille within the voting booths</li> <li>• Pencil Grips</li> <li>• Induction hearing loops</li> </ul>

**APPENDIX E – Summary of representations received in the polling district & polling place review consultation**

					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Braille tactile device to assist with marking the ballot paper</li> <li>• Magnifying glass</li> <li>• A Presiding Officer can legally assist a voter within the booth</li> <li>• Passport guide for voter with learning difficulties</li> </ul>
<b>HASELBURY</b>	<b>HBDZ</b>		<b>Church Representative</b>	St Aldhelm's Church Hall is used as a polling station. At the moment, a temporary ramp is required to access the polling station, but we are ready to install a permanent ramp to the hall - we are currently waiting for a response from Enfield's building control department before we go ahead but hope the ramp will be available very soon.	<b>(A)RO response</b> – Noted and will check with the building control department to make sure this is in place in advance of polling day.
<b>COCKFOSTERS</b>	<b>CFCY</b>	<b>R9</b>	<b>Resident</b>	I live in Cornell Gardens on the Bolingbroke Park development. It would be much easier to have Freston Hall as our local polling station rather than 14th Southgate Scout Headquarters in Green Road which is much further away. Freston Hall in Freston Gardens is within a few minutes easy walk of Cornell Gardens. Not everyone has a car.	<b>(A)RO response</b> – I can confirm that Cornell Gardens including Bolingbroke Park Development registered electors allocated polling station is Freston Hall. This polling place remains unchanged.
<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>R10</b>	<b>Resident</b>	There needs to be more accessible for people with visual and hearing problems and for learning disabilities and difficulties such as Autism etc. and the main thing i would like to see change is font and the way things are written especially for people with disabilities and dyslexia etc.	<b>(A)RO response</b> – Further to the new rules on accessibility introduced by the Elections Act 2022, the (A)RO confirms that all the polling stations within the borough will contain the following aided equipment and material:

**APPENDIX E – Summary of representations received in the polling district & polling place review consultation**

					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• How to vote notice will be in braille within the voting booths</li> <li>• Pencil Grips</li> <li>• Induction hearing loops</li> <li>• Braille tactile device to assist with marking the ballot paper</li> <li>• Magnifying glass</li> <li>• A Presiding Officer can legally assist a voter within the booth</li> <li>• Passport guide for voter with learning difficulties</li> </ul>
<b>RIDGEWAY</b>	<b>RWCX</b>	<b>R11</b>	<b>Resident</b>	Locally we have to poll in a pub. It would be preferable if this were in the car park	<p><b>(A)RO response</b> – The Jolly Farmers Public House is the only polling place that is a public house used. The polling station will be in a separate room within the building with its own private entrance and has no access to the public serving pub area. The decision to move from a temporary building to this building was for the following reason:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The total cost of providing a temporary building is extremely expensive. An approximate cost is £3300 per unit and the (A)RO is working tirelessly to ensure we keep costs down.</li> </ul>

**APPENDIX E – Summary of representations received in the polling district & polling place review consultation**

<p><b>ARNOS GROVE</b></p>	<p><b>AGDY</b></p>	<p><b>R12</b></p>	<p><b>Resident</b></p>	<p>The proposed polling station at Palmer's Green library for Arnos Grove ward is not even in the ward! The eastern half of this new ward seems to be worse off with regard to polling stations. More polling stations needed.</p>	<p>(A)RO response – Unfortunately, the ward boundaries are not created based on the available polling places and available sites for a temporary building but based on a proportioned electorate balance in each ward for councillors to represent. For polling district AGDY, there is no suitable venues or sites available within this polling district to serve as a polling station. The nearest venue identified is outside the AGDY polling district.</p>
---------------------------	--------------------	-------------------	------------------------	--	--